arXiv:1401.6624v1 [math-ph] 26 Jan 2014

Similarity reductions and new nonlinear exact solutions for the 2D incompressible Euler equations

Engui Fan*

School of Mathematical Sciences,
Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences
and Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science,
Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P.R. China

Manwai Yuen†

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology,
The Hong Kong Institute of Education,
10 Po Ling Road, Tai Po, New Territories, Hong Kong

Revised 29-Nov-2013

Abstract

For the 2D and 3D Euler equations, their existing exact solutions are often in linear form with respect to variables x, y, z. In this paper, the Clarkson-Kruskal reduction method is applied to reduce the 2D incompressible Euler equations to a system of completely solvable ordinary equations, from which several novel nonlinear exact solutions with respect to the variables x and y are found.

MSC: 35Q31, 35C05, 76B03, 76M60

Key Words: Incompressible Euler equations, the Clarkson-Kruskal method, similarity reductions, nonlinear exact solutions.

*E-mail address: faneg@fudan.edu.cn

†Corresponding author and e-mail address: nevetsyuen@hotmail.com

1 Introduction

The motion of inviscid, incompressible-ideal fluid is governed by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{div} u = 0, \\ &u_t + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which was first obtained by Euler. Here $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ are the components of the three dimensional velocity field and p the pressure of the fluid at a position (x, y, z). The Euler equations (1) are not in Hamiltonian form owing to the lack of an equation explicitly governing the time evolution of the pressure. Arnold's strategy to obviate this difficulty was to project each term of the system (1) onto a canonically chosen divergence-free representative, thereby eliminating the pressure terms at the expense of introducing a nonlocal operator on the nonlinear terms [1]. Here the more conventional procedure of simply taking the curl of (1) performs the same function, leading to a vorticity equation

$$\partial_t \Omega + (u \cdot \nabla)\Omega - (\Omega \cdot \nabla) u = 0. \tag{2}$$

Kato showed that the mild solutions of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations approach the 2D Euler equations [2]. Marsden and Ratiu carried out extensive studies on the sympletic structure of 2D Euler model [3]. Friedlander and Vishik constructed a Lax pair for Euler equations in the Lagrangian coordinates [4]. Recently, Li found weak Lax pairs for 2D and 3D Euler equations (2) in the vorticity form [5, 6]. Lou and Li proposed Backlund transformation, Darboux transformation and exact solutions for the 2D Euler equations in the vorticity form [7, 8]. Interest in self-similar solutions can be traced back to the work of Sedov and Barenblatt [9, 10, 11]. In 1965, Arnold first introduced the famous Arnold-Beltrami-Childress (ABC) flow [12]. The solutions exhibit interesting local behavior with infinite energy. Zelik's work gives details of the existence of weak solutions for the unbounded domain [13, 14]. The exact solutions to the infinite energy of the systems can be regionally applied to understand the great complexity that exists in turbulence [15]. Makino obtained the first radial solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in 1993, using the separation method [16]. In 2011, Yuen obtained a class of exact and rotational solutions for the 3D Euler equations [17]. In 2012, Yuen constructed exact solutions with elliptical symmetry by using the new characteristic method [18, 19].

We notice that these existing exact solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 for the 2D and 3D Euler equations are often in linear form with respect to special variables x, y, z. So it is a natural idea to search for their novel nonlinear exact solutions with respect to variables x, y and y. One of best methods to achieve this goal may be the reduction method developed by the Clarkson and Kruskal [20], because their basic idea is to seek a reduction of a given partial differential equation in the form

$$u = \alpha(x,t) + \beta(x,t)W(z(x,t)), \tag{3}$$

which should cover most general form of the known solutions for the Euler equations. Substituting (3) into the partial differential equation and demanding that the result be ordinary differential equation for W of z through certain constraints on its derivatives the ratios of their coefficients of different derivatives and powers being functions of z only. The ordinary differential equation may be a special or solvable equation. The unusual characteristic of this method is that it does not use Lie group theory originally developed by Lie [21]. Though the Lie group method is entirely algorithmic, it often involves a large amount of tedious algebra and auxiliary calculations which are virtually unmanageable manually.

Based on the above consideration, as illustrative examples in this paper, we would like to apply the Clarkson-Kruskal reduction method to find novel nonlinear solutions for the 2D case of non-vorticity Euler equations (1), which can be written in the scalar form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} = 0,\\
&\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + u_1\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + u_2\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = 0,\\
&\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} + u_1\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + u_2\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

in which $u_1 = u_1(x,y,t), u_2 = u_2(x,y,t)$ are the velocity of fluid, and $p = p(x,y,t)$ is the pressure. It will be shown that our solutions are different from the existing linear form of solutions with respect to variables x and y for the 2D incompressible Euler equations (4).

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we construct the similarity reductions of Euler equations (4) by using the Clarkson-Kruskal reduction method. In section 3, as special applications of the similarity reductions, we find some interesting nonlinear solutions of the Euler equations (4).

2 The similarity reductions

In this section, we seek similarity reduction of the 2D Euler equations (4) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \alpha(x,y,t) + \beta(x,y,t)W(z(x,y,t)), \\ u_2 &= \xi(x,y,t) + \eta(x,y,t)Q(z(x,y,t)), \\ p &= p(x,y,t), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha(x,y,t), \beta(x,y,t), \xi(x,y,t), \eta(x,y,t), p(x,y,t)$ and $z(x,y,t)$ are functions to be determined. Since $p(x,y,t)$ is a linear function in (4), its most general form for a similarity is itself. Here we take it to be a determined function such that final reduced ordinary equations are compatible.

Substituting (5) into (4), and collecting coefficients of monomials of W, Q and their derivatives yields

$$\beta z_x W' + \eta z_y Q' + \beta_x W + \eta_y Q + \alpha_x + \xi_y = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^2 z_x W W' + (\beta z_t + \alpha\beta z_x + \xi\beta z_y)W' + \eta\beta z_y Q W' + \beta\beta_x W^2, \\ &+ (\beta_t + \alpha\beta_x + \alpha_x\beta + \xi\beta_y)W + \eta\beta_y Q W + \eta\alpha_y Q \\ &+ \alpha_t + \alpha\alpha_x + \xi\alpha_y + p_x = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta^2 z_y Q Q' + (\eta z_t + \alpha\eta z_x + \xi\eta z_y)Q' + \eta\beta z_x W Q' + \eta\eta_y Q^2, \\ &+ (\eta_t + \alpha\eta_x + \xi\eta_y + \eta\xi_y)Q + \beta\eta_x Q W + \beta\xi_x W \\ &+ \xi_t + \alpha\xi_x + \xi\xi_y + p_y = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $' := \frac{d}{dz}$. In order to make these equations be a system of ordinary differential equations for W and Q, the ratios of their coefficients of different derivatives and powers have to be functions of z only. That

is, the following equations should be satisfied

$$\eta z_y = \beta z_x \Gamma_1(z), \tag{9}$$

$$\beta_x = \beta z_x \Gamma_2(z), \tag{10}$$

$$\eta_y = \beta z_x \Gamma_3(z), \tag{11}$$

$$\alpha_x + \xi_y = \beta z_x \Gamma_4(z), \tag{12}$$

$$\beta z_t + \alpha\beta z_x + \xi\beta z_y = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_5(z), \tag{13}$$

$$\eta\beta z_y = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_6(z), \tag{14}$$

$$\beta\beta_x = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_7(z), \tag{15}$$

$$\beta_t + \alpha\beta_x + \alpha_x\beta + \xi\beta_y = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_8(z), \tag{16}$$

$$\eta\beta_y = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_9(z), \tag{17}$$

$$\eta\alpha_y = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_{10}(z), \tag{18}$$

$$\alpha_t + \alpha\alpha_x + \xi\alpha_y + p_x = \beta^2 z_x \Gamma_{11}(z) \tag{19}$$

$$\eta z_t + \alpha\eta z_x + \xi\eta z_y = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{12}(z), \tag{20}$$

$$\eta\beta z_x = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{13}(z), \tag{21}$$

$$\eta\eta_y = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{14}(z), \tag{22}$$

$$\eta_t + \alpha\eta_x + \xi\eta_y + \eta\xi_y = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{15}(z), \tag{23}$$

$$\beta\eta_x = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{16}(z), \tag{24}$$

$$\beta\xi_x = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{17}(z), \tag{25}$$

$$\xi_t + \alpha\xi_x + \xi\xi_y + p_y = \eta^2 z_y \Gamma_{18}(z), \tag{26}$$

where $\Gamma_i(z)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, 18)$ are some arbitrary functions of z that will be determined later. In the determination of functions α, β, ξ, η and z, there exist some freedoms without loss of generality.

Rule 1. If α has the form $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \beta\Omega(z)$, then we can take $\Omega(z) = 0$ (by taking $W \to W - \Omega$)).

Rule 2. If β has the form $\beta = \beta_0\Omega(z)$, then we can take $\Omega(z) = 1$ (by taking $\Omega \to W/\Omega$).

Rule 3. If z is determined by an equation of the form $\Omega(z) = z_0$, then we can take $\Omega(z) = z$ (by taking $z \to \Omega^{-1}(z)$). The other functions ξ and η can also be determined in a similar way as above.

We shall now proceed to determine the general similarity reductions of the 2D Euler equations (4) using this method. From the constraint equation (10), we have

$$\beta_x/\beta = z_x\Gamma_2(z), \tag{27}$$

which upon integration gives

$$\beta = \beta_0(y,t)\exp(\Gamma_2(z)), \tag{28}$$

where $\beta_0(y,t)$ is a function of integration. Hence, using freedom mentioned in rule 2 above, we choose $\Gamma_2(z) = 0$ in (28) to obtain

$$\beta = \beta_0(y,t). \tag{29}$$

For the simplicity of reduction, we just consider β being constant and take

$$\beta = 1. \tag{30}$$

In a similar way to deal with β, from constraint equation (22) and rule 2, we may take

$$\Gamma_{14}(z) = 0, \quad \eta = 1. \tag{31}$$

Then it follows from (9), (30) and (31) that

$$\Gamma_1(z) = 1.$$

By using (30) and (31), it follow (11), (15), (22), and (24) that

$$\Gamma_3(z) = \Gamma_7(z) = \Gamma_{14}(z) = \Gamma_{16}(z) = 0. \tag{32}$$

Substituting (30) into (16) and integrating, we have

$$\alpha = \theta_1(y,t) + \Gamma_8(z), \tag{33}$$

which, by the rule 1, leads to

$$\Gamma_8(z) = 0, \quad \alpha = \theta_1(y,t). \tag{34}$$

In the similar way, we can obtain from (23) that

$$\Gamma_{15}(z) = 0, \quad \xi = \theta_2(x,t). \tag{35}$$

Substituting (34) and (35) into (12) gives

$$\Gamma_4(z) = 0. \tag{36}$$

It is easily seen from (18) and (34) that

$$\theta_{1,y}(y,t)x + \theta_3(y,t) = \Gamma_{10}(z), \tag{37}$$

which implies that

$$\Gamma_{10}(z) = 1, \quad z = \theta_{1,y}x + \theta_3(y,t) \tag{38}$$

combination with rule 3. Substituting (38) into (21) yields

$$\Gamma_{13}(z) = 1, \quad \theta_{1,yy} = 0, \quad \theta_{1,y} = \theta_{3,y}, \tag{39}$$

which leads to

$$\theta_1 = \theta_3 = f(t)y + g(t), \quad \alpha(y,t) = f(t)y + g(t) \tag{40}$$

where $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t.

From (38) and (40), we can write the variable z in the form

$$z = f(t)x + f(t)y + g(t). \tag{41}$$

Substituting it into (14) gives

$$\Gamma_6(z) = 1. \tag{42}$$

Substituting (35) and (38) into (25) gives

$$\Gamma_{17}(z) = 1, \quad \xi = \theta_2 = f(t)x + h(t). \tag{43}$$

On use of (30) and (43), the equations (19) and (26) can be written as

$$f^2(t)x + f'(t)y + (g'(t) + f(t)h(t)) + p_x = z_x\Gamma_{11}(z), \tag{44}$$

$$f^2(t)y + f'(t)x + (h'(t) + f(t)g(t)) + p_y = z_y\Gamma_{18}(z). \tag{45}$$

Integrating equations (44) and (45) with respect to variable x and y, again using rule 1, we obtain that

$$\Gamma_{11}(z) = \Gamma_{18}(z) = 0, \quad h(t) = g(t), \tag{46}$$

$$p = -\frac{1}{2}f^2(t)(x^2 + y^2) - f'(t)xy - (g'(t) + f(t)g(t))(x + y). \tag{47}$$

Substituting (40), (41) and (43) into the equations (13) and (20) gives

$$f'(t)(x+y)+g'(t)+2f(t)g(t)=f(t)\Gamma_5(z)=f(t)\Gamma_{12}(z). \tag{48}$$

Observing $z=f(t)x+f(t)y+g(t)$ in (41) and the left-hand side of equation (48) is linear in x and y, consequently, the functions $\Gamma_5(z)$ and $\Gamma_{12}(z)$ should be linear function of z, that is,

$$\Gamma_5(z)=\Gamma_{12}(z)=c_1z+c_2 \tag{49}$$

with c_1, c_2 being arbitrary constants. Balancing coefficients of powers of x and y in (48) implies that $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &f'(t)=(c_1-1)f^2(t),\\ &g'(t)=(c_1-2)f(t)g(t)+c_2f(t). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

According to the values of obtained functions $\Gamma_i(z)$ $(i=1,\cdots,18)$, the 2D Euler equations (4) are reduced to a system of the ordinary differential equations with respect to $W(z)$ and $Q(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} &W'+Q'=0,\\ &WW'+(c_1z+c_2)W'+QW'+Q=0,\\ &QQ'+(c_1z+c_2)Q'+WQ'+W=0. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Finally, we conclude that the general similarity reduction for 2D Euler equations (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &u_1=f(t)y+g(t)+W(z),\\ &u_2=f(t)x+g(t)+Q(z),\\ &p=-\frac{1}{2}f(t)^2(x^2+y^2)-f'(t)xy-[g'(t)+f(t)g(t)](x+y),\\ &z=f(t)(x+y)+g(t), \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ satisfy equation (50), and $W(z)$, $Q(z)$ satisfy equation (51).

3 Nonlinear exact solutions

In this section, we discuss nonlinear exact solutions of the 2D Euler equations (4) from the similarity reduction (52). It is important to deal with completely solvable system of ordinary equations (50) and (51).

For different cases of parameters c_1 and c_2, the solutions of ordinary differential equations (50) are known as

$$f(t) = c_3 = \text{constant}, \quad g(t) = e^{-c_3 t} + c_2, \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 = 1, \tag{53}$$

$$f(t) = -t^{-1}, \quad g(t) = -c_2 \ln t, \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 = 2 \tag{54}$$

and

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{(1-c_1)t}, \quad g(t) = t^{-\gamma} - \frac{c_2}{c_1 - 2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{c_1 - 2}{c_1 - 1}, \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 \neq 1, 2. \tag{55}$$

And equations (51) has three classes of solutions for different parameters c_1 and c_2

$$W = -Q = (z + c_2/c_1)^{1/c_1}, \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 \neq 0. \tag{56}$$

$$W = -Q = e^{z/c_2}, \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 = 0, \ c_2 \neq 0. \tag{57}$$

and

$$W = Q = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 = c_2 = 0. \tag{58}$$

Case 1: $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. In this case, it follows from (52), (53) and (58) that the solution of the 2D Euler equations (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= t^{-1} y + t^{-2}, \\ u_2 &= t^{-1} x + t^{-2}, \\ p &= -t^{-2}(x-y)^2/2 + t^{-3}(x+y), \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

which is a rational solution and u_1, u_2 are linear with respect to a single spacial variable.

Case 2: $c_1 = 0, \ \ c_2 \neq 0$. In this case, it follows from (52), (53) and (57) that the solution of the 2D Euler equations (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= t^{-1} y + t^{-2} + c_2/2 + \exp\left[c_2^{-1} t^{-2}(tx + ty + 1) + 1/2\right], \\ u_2 &= t^{-1} x + t^{-2} + c_2/2 - \exp\left[c_2^{-1} t^{-2}(tx + ty + 1) + 1/2\right], \\ p &= -t^{-2}(x-y)^2/2 + (t^{-3} - c_2 t^{-1}/2)(x+y), \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

in which u_1 and u_2 for variables x and y are linear. These two kinds of solutions were never obtained by Yuen [17].

Case 3: $c_1 = 1$. In this case, it follows from (52), (53) and (56) that the solution of the 2D Euler equations (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= c_3(x+2y) + 2e^{-c_3 t} + 3c_2, \\ u_2 &= -c_3 y - c_2, \\ p &= -\frac{1}{2}c_3^2(x^2+y^2) - c_2 c_3 (x+y). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Case 4: $c_1 = 2$. In this case, it follows from (52), (54) and (56) that the solution of the 2D Euler equations (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= -t^{-1}y - c_2 \ln t + [-t^{-1}(x+y) - c_2 \ln t + c_2/2]^{1/2}, \\ u_2 &= -t^{-1}x - c_2 \ln t - [-t^{-1}(x+y) - c_2 \ln t + c_2/2]^{1/2}, \\ p &= -\frac{1}{2}t^{-2}(x+y)^2 + c_2 t^{-1}(1-\ln t)(x+y). \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Case 5: $c_1 \neq 0, 1, 2$. In this case, it follows from (52), (60) and (56) that the solution of the 2D Euler equations (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= yt^{-1}(1-c_1)^{-1} + t^{-\gamma} - c_2(c_1-2)^{-1} + \left[-(x+y)t^{-1}(1-c_1)^{-1} + t^{-\gamma} - 2c_2 c_1^{-1}(c_1-2)^{-1}\right]^{1/c_1}, \\ u_2 &= xt^{-1}(1-c_1)^{-1} + t^{-\gamma} - c_2(c_1-2)^{-1} - \left[-(x+y)t^{-1}(1-c_1)^{-1} + t^{-\gamma} + 2c_2 c_1^{-1}(c_1-2)^{-1}\right]^{1/c_1}, \\ p &= -(x^2+y^2)t^{-2}(c_1-1)^{-2}/2 - xyt^{-2}(1-c_1)^{-1} + \left(-\gamma t^{-\gamma} - c_2 t^{-1}(1-c_1)^{-1}(c_1-2)^{-1}\right)(x+y). \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

It is obvious that u_1 and u_2 in (60), (62) and (63) are not linear with respect to variables x and y. These solutions, to the best of our knowledge, should be previously unknown. Here we should remark that, as usual, the 2D Euler equations should be reduced to a system of (1+1)-dimensional partial different equations. Here we take full advantage of the divergence equation div$u = 0$ in the incompressible case to directly reduce the 2D Euler equations (4) to a system of solvable ordinary equations. Consequently, we are able to construct several novel exact solutions for the incompressible 2D Euler equations. This method also can be applied to the incompressible 3D Euler equations. In addition, Lou and Li found solitary wave solutions for the 2D Euler equations in the vorticity form through their Lax and Darboux

transformation [8]. But solitary wave solutions for general 2D and 3D Euler equations still have not been found, partly due to the fact that their Lax pairs are unknown at present.

Acknowledgments

The work described in this paper was partially supported by the research grant RG 53/2012-2013R from the Hong Kong Institute of Education, the National Science Foundation of China (Project No. 11271079), Doctoral Programs Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China.

References

[1] V I Arnold, Sur la geometrie differentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinite etses applications a l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 16 (1966), 319–361.

[2] T Kato, Remanks on the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in R^2, Part 2, Proc Symp. Pure Math, 45 (1986), 1–7.

[3] J E Marsden, Lecture on Mechanics, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note, Ser. 174, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[4] S Friedlander and M Vishik, Lax pair formulation for the Euler equation, Phys Lett A, 148 (1990), 313–319.

[5] Y Li, A Lax pair for the 2D Euler equation, J Math Phys., 42 (2001), 3552–3564.

[6] Y Li, Lax pairs and Darboux transformations for Euler equations, Stud Appl Math, 111 (2003), 101–113.

[7] S Y Lou, M Jia, X Y Tang and F Huang, Vortices, circumfuence, symmetry groups, and Darboux transformations of the (2+1)-dimensional Euler equation, Phys Rev E, 75 (2007), 05631:1–11.

[8] S Y Lou, M Jia, F Huang and X Y Tang, Backlund transformations, solitary waves, conoid wave and Bessel wave of the (2+1)-dimensional Euler equation, Int J Theor Phys, 46 (2007), 2082–2095.

[9] L I Sedov, On the integration of the equations of one-dimensional motion of a Gas, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 40 (1953), 753–754.

[10] G I Barenblatt, On self-similar solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear parabolic equation of Unsteady Filtration of a Gas in a Porous Medium, (Russian) Prikl.Mat. Meh. 20 (1956), 761–763.

[11] G I Barenblatt, Similarity, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1979.

[12] V I Arnold, Sur la topologie des ecoulements stationnaires des fluides parfaits (French), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 261 (1965), 17–20.

[13] S Zelik, Spatially nondecaying solutions of 2D Navier-Stokes equations in a Strip, Glasgow Math. J, 49 (2007), 525–588.

[14] S Zelik, Weak spatially non-decaying solutions for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical Domains, C. Bardos, A. Fursikov (Eds.), Instability in models connected with fluid flows, International Math. Series, 5–6, Springer 2008.

[15] D K Ludlow, P A Clarkson and A P Bassom, Similarity reductions and exact solutions for the two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, Stud. Appl. Math., 103 (1999), 183-240.

[16] T Makino, Exact solutions for the compressible eluer equation, Journal of Osaka Sangyo University Natural Sciences, 95 (1993), 21–35.

[17] M W Yuen, Exact, rotational, infinite energy, blowup solutions to the 3-dimensional Euler equations, Phys. Lett. A, 375 (2011), 3107–3113.

[18] M W Yuen, Self-similar solutions with elliptic symmetry for the Compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in R^N, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 17 (2012), 4524–4528.

[19] H L An and M W Yuen, Supplement to "self-similar solutions with elliptic symmetry for the compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in R^N " [CommunNonlinear Sci Numer Simu. 17 (2012) 4524-4528], Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simu, 18 (2013), 1558–1561.

[20] P A Clarkson and M D Kruskal, New similarity reductions of Boussinesq equation, J Math Phys., 30 (1989), 2201–2213.

[21] S Lie, Vorlesungen uber differntial gleichungen mit Bekannten infitesimalen transformationen, Teuber, Liepzig, 1891.